UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,703	05/19/2006	Keon Joon Ahn	2108.3	5127
·- ·	7590 12/24/200 ASSOCIATES, P.C.	EXAMINER		
3125 SPRINGE		KETEMA, BENYAM		
SUITE G CHARLOTTE,	NC 28226	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/579,703		AHN ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		BENYAM KE		2629					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	ugust 2009							
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>5 and 9</u> is/are objected to.								
· · _ ·	·								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 May 2006</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
		=			TD 4 404/4)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/06/2009</u> .	4 5 6)	te					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In an amendment dated, August 10, 2009 the applicant amended claim 6, currently claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

2. In view of amendment to claim 6 the rejection under 35 USC § 101 have been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments in respect to **inputting a character** has been fully considered and is not persuasive.

On page 8 of the Remarks, the Applicants argue that Gordon fails to teach the claimed feature of "a step of inputting a character by moving the pointer from the pointer start area according to the sensed movement" as recited in Claims 1, 6 and 7. The Examiner must respectfully disagree. As it is disclosed by Gordon (Fig 2) the user is using the pointer to input a character (Graphical symbol) that is displayed on the screen. Merriam Webster dictionary defines a "character" as a graphical symbol. Therefore it would have been obvious to see in fig 2 that by moving the pointer the user can input different character (graphical symbols) such as "Browse, Search or Sell" as it is disclosed on Fig 2. Therefore the fact that Fig 2 discloses multiple graphical symbols (characters) that can be inputted by the operator using the pointer in order to input

Application/Control Number: 10/579,703 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

selected characters as it is disclosed in the limitation of Claims 1, 6 and 7 wherein a

step of inputting a character by moving the pointer have been met.

4. In response to applicant's arguments in respect to "a step of returning the pointer

to the pointer start area according to the input of the character" as recited in Claims 1, 6

and 7. The Examiner must respectfully disagree. As it is disclosed by Shinzo (Abstract)

and confirmed by the applicant on (Page 9 line 5- 6 of remarks field on 08/07/2009) in

regards to the cursor having a predetermined potion. Further more Shinzo discloses

that the cursor 3 returns from shifted position when the user's finger 4 was on the panel

2 to its original (i.e. practical) cursor position once the user lifts' his/her finger of the

panel. Therefore the fact that the cursor as disclosed in Shinzo has a predetermined

position and moves to different position when the operator's finger is touching the panel

and returns back to its original position once the operator releases his/her finger from

the panel teaches the claimed limitation of claim 1, 6 and 11 wherein a step of returning

the pointer to the pointer start area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,703 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al. (US Pat. No 6,677,929) in view of Shinz et al. (JP 409237157A).

As in Claim 1, Gordon et al. discloses a method of inputting a character in a portable device (Column 5 line 28-29 and Fig 2) having

- a display screen, (Column 10 line 11 and Fig 2 item 30)comprising:
- a step of sensing the movement of an object which moves being in contact with an optical interface unit of the portable device; (Column 7 line 51-67 and fig 3) discloses that the optical sensor senses the motion of the fingertip (digit 3) which is in contact with transparent dome shaped contact point where the detecting light source comes out.
- a step of inputting a character by moving the pointer from the pointer start area according to the sensed movement; (Column 11 line 37-55 and Fig 2 & 4)

discloses the user can enter a graphical character by moving the pointer from its start position on the display.

- However Gordon et al. fails to disclose a step of locating a pointer at a
 predetermined pointer start area on the display screen; a step of returning the
 pointer to the pointer start area according to the input of the character.
- Shinz et al. discloses a step of locating a pointer at a predetermined pointer start area on the display screen; (Abstract) discloses the cursor having a predetermined position (i.e. practical position).
- Shinz et al. further discloses a step of returning the pointer to the pointer start area according to the input of the character. (Abstract) discloses the cursor having a predetermined position (i.e. practical position) where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit.

Gordon et al. and Shinz et al. are analogous art because they are from the common area of cursor control input devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Gordon's et al. cursor control with Shinz et al. predetermined cursor position system in order to make it easy to locate the cursor on screen, because Shinz et al. discloses that a cursor that has predetermined position (i.e. practical position) on the display device where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit is useful in cursor control input device, Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the cursor

controlled input device of Gordon et al. so that it can incorporate the capability of returning the cursor to its predetermined position as disclosed by Shinz et al. in order to prevent a cursor from being hidden or disappearing from view. The Shinz et al. feature of predetermined positioning of the cursor will enhance the cursor control of Gordon et al by making it easy to locate the cursor on the display screen.

As in Claim 2, Gordon et al. discloses the method of claim 1, as discussed above, but fails to disclose the step of returning the pointer to the pointer start area according to the input of the character, the pointer is returned to the pointer start area in case that it is sensed that the object has ended the contact with the optical interface unit, However, Shinz et al. (Abstract) discloses the cursor having a predetermined position (i.e. practical position) where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify cursor input device of Gordon et al. so that it can incorporate the capability of returning the cursor to its predetermined position because Shinz et al. discloses that the cursor returns to its predetermined position when it is not in use.

As in Claim 3, Gordon et al. discloses the step of inputting a character by moving the pointer from the pointer start area according to the sensed movement, the character is inputted in case that a character located at the area in which the pointer has moved is selected by a user. (Column 11 line 37-55 and Fig 2 & 4) discloses the user can enter a

graphical character by moving the pointer from its start position on the display to where the characters to be selected are. Hence its start position would be where the cursor is located on the display at the begging of the function (program).

As in Claim 4, Gordon et al. discloses the method of claim 1, wherein: in the step of sensing the movement of an object which moves being in contact with an optical interface unit of the portable device (Column 4 line 41-53), the movement is sensed by measuring at least one of the speed and the distance of the movement of the object; (Column 11 line 32-36) and in the step of inputting a character by moving the pointer from the pointer start area according to the sensed movement, (Column 11 line 37-55 and Fig 2 & 4 discloses the user can enter a graphical character by moving the pointer from its start position on the display to where the characters to be selected are. Hence its start position would be where the cursor is located on the display at the begging of the function (program)). the pointer is moved in response to at least one of the measured speed and distance. (Column 7 line 34- Column 8 line 19)

As in Claim 6, Gordon et al. discloses a computer readable recording medium in which a program for executing a method of inputting a character (Abstract) in a portable device (Fig 2)

- having a display screen, (Fig 2 item 30) comprising:
- a step of sensing the movement of an object which moves being in contact with an optical interface unit of the portable device; (Column 7 line 51-67 and fig 3)

discloses that the optical sensor senses the motion of the fingertip (digit 3) which is in contact with transparent dome shaped contact point where the detecting light source comes out.

- a step of inputting a character by moving the pointer from the pointer start area
 according to the sensed movement; (Column 11 line 37-55 and Fig 2 & 4)
 discloses the user can enter a graphical character by moving the pointer from its
 start position on the display.
 - and a step of returning the pointer start area according to the input of the character. (Column 11 line 37-55 and fig 2 & 4) since Gordon et al. discloses moving the cursor to input required character it would have been obvious to return the cursor to its starting position(i.e. where the cursor was located at the start of the application).
- Gordon et al. fails to disclose a step of locating a pointer at a predetermined pointer start area on the display screen; However, Shinz et al. (Abstract) discloses the cursor having a predetermined position (i.e. practical position) on the display screen.

Gordon et al. and Shinz et al. are analogous art because they are from the common area of cursor control input devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Gordon's et al. cursor control with Shinz et al. predetermined cursor position system in order to make it easy to locate the cursor on screen, because Shinz et al. discloses cursor that has predetermined

position (i.e. practical position) on the display device where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify cursor controlled input device of Gordon et al. so that it can incorporate the capability of returning the cursor to its predetermined position as disclosed by Shinz et al. in order to prevent a cursor from being hidden or disappearing from view. Shinz et al. feature of predetermined positioning of cursor will enhance cursor control of Gordon et al by making it easy to locate the cursor on the display screen.

As in Claim 7, Gordon et al. discloses a portable device (Fig 2) capable of inputting characters (Abstract), comprising:

- a display unit for displaying a predetermined character or a pointer on a predetermined display screen; (Column 10 line 11-15 and Fig 2 item 30 & 31)
- an optical interface unit contacting with a predetermined object, (Column 10 line 9 and Fig 3)
- emitting an optical signal to the object, and receiving an optical reflection signal reflected from the object; (Column 11 line 14-18 and Fig 3)
- an optical signal sensing unit sensing the movement of the object by interpreting the received optical reflection signal and; (Column 7 line 64-67 and Fig 1 item 10 & 22) and (Column 11 line 12-14 and Fig 2 item 10)

• inputting a character by moving the pointer from the pointer start area according to the sensed movement, (Column 11 line 37-55 and Fig 2 & 4) discloses the user can enter a graphical character by moving the pointer from its start position on the display.

- and returning the pointer to the pointer start area according to the input of the character. (Column 11 line 37-55 and fig 2 & 4) since Gordon et al. discloses moving the cursor to input required character it would have been obvious to return the cursor to its starting position(i.e. where the cursor was located at the start of the application).
- Gordon et al. fails to disclose a pointer control unit locating the pointer at a pointer start area on the display screen, However, Shinz et al. (Abstract) discloses the cursor having a predetermined position (i.e. practical position) on the display screen.

Gordon et al. and Shinz et al. are analogous art because they are from the common area of cursor control input devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Gordon's et al. cursor control with Shinz et al. predetermined cursor position system in order to make it easy to locate the cursor on screen, because Shinz et al. discloses cursor that has predetermined position (i.e. practical position) on the display device where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention to modify cursor controlled input device of Gordon et al. so that it can incorporate the capability of returning the cursor to its predetermined position as disclosed by Shinz et al. in order to prevent a cursor from being hidden or disappearing from view. Shinz et al. feature of predetermined positioning of cursor will enhance cursor control of Gordon et al by making it easy to locate the cursor on the display screen.

As in Claim 8, Gordon et al. discloses the portable device (Fig 2) as discussed above, but fails to disclose the pointer control unit returns the pointer to the pointer start area in case that it is sensed that the object has ended the contact with the optical interface unit. However, Shinz et al. (Abstract) discloses the cursor having a predetermined position (i.e. practical position) where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Gordon's et al. cursor control with Shinz et al. predetermined cursor position system in order to make it easy to locate the cursor on screen, because Shinz et al. discloses cursor that has predetermined position (i.e. practical position) on the display device where the cursor will return to its original position (i.e. practical position) when the operator moves his/her finger from the detecting unit.

As in Claim 10, Gordon et al. discloses the portable device (Fig 2) of claim 7, wherein the optical interface unit comprises a predetermined object surface to which the optical signal is transmitted, a lens, and an image surface, the object surface, the lens, and the image surface are horizontally disposed. (Column 10 line 52-66 and Fig 3)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 5 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art of the record particularly fails to teach or fairly suggest that step of inputting a character by moving the pointer according to the sensed movement.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENYAM KETEMA whose telephone number is (571)270-7224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shalwala Bipin H can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Application/Control Number: 10/579,703 Page 14

Art Unit: 2629

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ B.K. /

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Bipin Shalwala/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629